INDEPENDENT LICT DOMINATION IN GRAPHS

M.H.MUDDEBIHAL*

NAILA ANJUM*

ABSTRACT

For any graph G, the lict graph n(G) of a graph G, is a graph whose vertex set is the union of the set of edges and set of cutvertices of G in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding members are adjacent or incident. A dominating set D of a lict graph n(G) is an independent dominating set if the induced subgraph < D > has no edges. The minimum cardinality of an minimal independent dominating set is called the Independent Lict Domination Number and is denoted by $i_n(G)$.

In this paper, many bounds on $i_n(G)$ were obtained in terms of the vertices, edges and many other different parameters of G but not in terms of the elements of n(G). Further its relation with other different parameters are also developed.

Subject Classification Number : AMS-05C69, 05C70.

Keywords : Lict Graph , Domination number , Independent domination number .

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

> International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

^{*} DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GULBARGA UNIVERSITY GULBARGA-595106,Karnataka(India)

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, all the graph considered here are simple, finite, nontrivial, undirected and connected. The vertex set and edge set of graph *G* are denoted by V(G) = p and E(G) = q respectively. Terms not defined here are used in the sense of Harary[2].

The degree, neighbourhood and closed neighbourhood of a vertex v in a graph G are denoted by deg(v), N(v), and $N(v) = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ respectively. For a subset S of V, the graph induced by $S \subseteq V$ is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$.

As usual, the maximum degree of a vertex (edge) in G is denoted by $\Delta(G)(\Delta'(G))$. For any real number x, [x] denotes the smallest integer not less than x and [x] denotes the greater integer not greater than x.

A vertex cover in a graph G is a set of vertices that covers all the edges of G. The vertex covering number $\alpha_0(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a vertex cover in G. An edge cover of a graph G without isolated vertices is a set of edges of G that covers all the vertices of G. The edge covering number $\alpha_1(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of an edge cover of G. A set of vertices/edges in a graph G is called independent set if no two vertices/edges in the set are adjacent. The vertex independence number $\beta_0(G)$ is the maximum cardinality of an graph G is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of edges.

A set *D* of graph G = (V, E) is called a dominating set if every vertex in V - D is adjacent to some vertex in*D*. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ of *G* is the minimum cardinality taken over all dominating set of *G*.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research

http://www.ijmra.us

A dominating set *D* is called connected dominating set of *G* if the induced subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ is connected. The connected domination number $\gamma_c(G)$ of a graph *G* is the minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set in *G*.

A set *F* of edges in a graph *G* is called an edge dominating set of *G* if every edge in E - F is adjacent to atleast one edge in *F*. The edge domination number $\gamma'(G)$ of a graph *G* is the minimum cardinality of an edge dominating set of *G*. The edge dominating set *F* is called connected edge dominating set of *G*, if the induced subgraph $\langle F \rangle$ is also connected. The connected edge dominating set of *G* is denoted by $\gamma'_{c}(G)$ and is the minimum cardinality of the connected edge dominating set. Edge domination number was studied by S.L.Mitchell and Hedetniemi [4].

A dominating set *D* of a graph *G* is a strong Split dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle V - D \rangle$ is totally disconnected with only two vertices. The Strong Split domination number γssG of a graph *G* is the minimum cardinality of a strong split dominating set of *G*. See [3].

A dominating set *D* of a graph G = (V, E) is an independent dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle D \rangle$ has no edges. The independent domination number i(G) of a graph *G* is the minimum cardinality of an independent dominating set.

A set $D' \subseteq V'$ is said to be dominating set of n(G), if every vertex in V' - D' is adjacent to some vertex in D'. The domination number of n(G) is denoted by $\gamma_n(G)$ and is the minimum cardinality of dominating set in n(G).

Analogously, we define Independent Lict Domination Number as follows..

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us A dominating set D' of a Lict graph n(G) is an independent dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle D' \rangle$ has no edges.

The independent lict domination number $i_n(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an independent dominating set of n(G).

In this paper, many bounds on $i_n(G)$ were obtained and expressed in terms of the vertices, edges and other parameters of G but not in terms of members of n(G). Also we establish independentlict domination number and express the results with other different domination parameters of G.

We need the following Theorems to prove our later results .

Theorem A [1]: For any connected (p,q) graph $\gamma'(G) \leq \left|\frac{p}{2}\right|$.

Theorem B [3]: For any graph G, $\gamma_{ss}(G) = \alpha_0(G) + p_0$ where p_0 is the number of isolated vertices of G.

Theorem C [3]: For any connected graph $\gamma_c(G) \leq p - \Delta(G)$.

2. RESULTS

First we list out the exact values of $i_n(G)$ for some standard graphs.

Theorem 1:

a. For any path P_p with $p \leq 2$ vertices,

$$i_n(P_p) = \frac{p}{2} - 1$$
if *p* is even

 $i_n(P_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor$ if p is odd.

International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

August 2014

IJESR

Volume 2, Issue 8



b. For any cycle C_p ,

$$i_n(C_p) = \left[\frac{p}{3}\right]$$

c. For any star $K_{1,p}$,

$$i_n(K_{1,p})=1.$$

- d. For any Wheel W_p , $i_n(W_p) = \frac{p}{2}$; where *p* is even
- $i_n(W_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor$; where *p* is odd.
 - e. For any complete graph $K_{1,p}$,
 - $i_n(K_{1,p}) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor.$

The following Theorem relates $i_n(G)$ and $\gamma_n(G)$ in terms of the edges of G.

Theorem 2: For any connected (p,q)graphG, $\gamma_n(G) + i_n(G) \le q$.

Proof: Suppose $V(n(G)) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ det $D_1 \subseteq V(n(G)) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$ for each $i, 1 \le i \le n$, be the set of vertices in n(G)such that $N[D_1] = V(n(G))$. Then $|D_1| = \gamma_n(G)$. Suppose for each $v_i \in D_1$, the induced subgraph $\langle D_1 \rangle$ contains the set of vertices such that $deg(v_i) = 0$. Then D_1 itself forms an independent dominating set of n(G). Otherwise, let $S = D_2 \cup I$ where $D_2 \subseteq D_1$ and $I = V(n(G)) - D_1$, such that for all $v_i \in \langle D_2 \cup I \rangle$, $deg(v_i) = 0$. Thus $\langle D_2 \cup I \rangle$ forms a minimal independent dominating set of n(G). Now since $V(n(G)) = E(G) \cup C(G)$ where C(G) =

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

Volume 2, Issue 8

ISSN: 2347-6532

 $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, \dots, c_n\} \subseteq V(n(G))$ is the set of cutvertices in G. It follows that $|D_1| \cup |S| \le |E(G)|$, which gives $\gamma_n(G) + i_n(G) \le q$.

The Theorem below relates $i_n(G)$ and $\gamma_{ss}(G)$.

Theorem 3: For any connected non trivial graph G, $i_n(G) \leq \gamma_{ss}(G)$.

Proof: Suppose $F = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_j\} \subseteq E(G)$ be the edge dominating set of graph G and $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i\}$ be the set of cutvertices in G. In n(G), since $V(n(G)) = E(G) \cup C(G)$, then the set $D_1 \subseteq F \cup C$ such that $N[D_1] = V(n(G))$ is the minimal dominating set of n(G). Suppos $E(D_1) = \emptyset$ in the subgraph $\langle D_1 \rangle$, then D_1 itself is an independent dominating set of n(G). Otherwise, let $I = D_2 \cup D'_2$ where $D_2 \subseteq D_1$ and $D'_2 \subseteq V(n(G)) - D_1$ such that no two vertices in $\langle D_2 \cup D'_2 \rangle$ are adjacent. Hence the subgraph $\langle D_2 \cup D'_2 \rangle$ forms an independent dominating set of vertices incident on F in G and $S' \subset S$ be the set of all isolated vertices inG, then $\langle (V - S) \cup S' \rangle$ forms a strong split domination in G. Thus $|D_2 \cup D'_2| \leq |V - S| \cup S'$ which gives $i_n(G) \leq \gamma_{ss}(G)$.

Theorem 4: For any connected graph G, $i_n(G) \le \alpha_0(G) + p_0$ where $p_0 = V(G) - \gamma_{ss}(G)$. **Proof**: From Theorem B and Theorem 3 we have the required result.

Theorem 5: For any connected non-trivial graph G, $i_n(G) \leq \beta_1(G)$.

Proof: Suppose $F \subseteq E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_i\}, \forall 1 \le i \le n$ be an edge dominating set of G and $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n\}$ be the set of cutvertices in G. Now suppose $J \subseteq F(G)$ is a set of maximum edges in G, such that for any $e_i, e_j \in J$, $N[e_i] \cap N[e_j] = \emptyset$, $1 \le i, j \le n$, then J forms maximal edge independent set of G with $|J| = \beta_1(G)$. Since $V(n(G)) = E(G) \cup C(G)$, then there exist an independent set of vertices $D \subseteq J' \cup H$ where $J' \subseteq J$ and $H \subseteq V(n(G)) - J$ such that $H \notin N[J]$, which covers all the vertices inn(G). Then clearly $\langle D \rangle$ forms a minimal independent dominating set inn(G). It follows that $|D| \le |J|$ which gives $i_n(G) \le \beta_1(G)$.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

Theorem 6: For any connected (p,q) graph G, $i_n(G) \le \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Proof : Let $S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, \dots, e_n\}$ be the minimal edge dominating set in G and $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, \dots, c_n\}$ be the set of cutvertices in G. Then $S \cup C \subseteq V(n(G))$. Now, we consider a minimal set of vertices $D_1 \subseteq S \cup C$ in n(G) such that $N[D_1] = V(n(G))$. Then D_1 is the minimal dominating set in n(G). Further if $E(D_1) = \emptyset$ in the subgraph $\langle D_1 \rangle$, then D_1 itself is an independent dominating set of n(G). Otherwise, let $I = D_2 \cup D'_2$ where $D_2 \subseteq D_1$ and $D'_2 \subseteq V(n(G)) - D_1$ such that no two vertices in $\langle D_2 \cup D'_2 \rangle$ are adjacent. Hence the subgraph $\langle D_2 \cup D'_2 \rangle$ forms an independent dominating set of nG. Since each $ei \in S$ is incident on two vertices and also by Theorem A, we get $i_n(G) \leq \left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$.

The next Theorem gives the relation between $i_n(G)$ and $\gamma_c(G)$.

Theorem 7: For any graph G, $i_n(G) \le \gamma_c(G)$.

Proof: Suppose D_1 be the minimal dominating set in G such that $|D_1| = \gamma(G)$. Now if $\forall v_i \in D_1$ forms a connected path in $\langle D_1 \rangle$ then $|D_1| = \gamma_c(G)$. Otherwise let $D_2 \subseteq V(G) - D_1$ and $D_2 \in N(D_1)$. If $D'_2 \subseteq D_2$ is such that the induced subgraph $S = \langle D_1 \cup D'_2 \rangle$ forms a minimal connected path in G, then $\langle S \rangle$ is the connected dominating set of G with $|S| = |D_1 \cup D'_2| = \gamma_c(G)$. Without loss of generality let $F_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, \dots, e_j\} \subseteq E(G)$ and $F_2 \subseteq F_1$ be the set of edges incident on the vertices of S. By the definition of n(G), the set $F_2 \subseteq V(n(G))$. Clearly F_2 gives a set $D_3 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, \dots, v_j\}$ in n(G) such that $\langle D_3 \rangle$ is connected. Thus $|F_2| \leq |S|$ which gives $|D_3| \leq |S|$. Now consider the set $D'_3 \subseteq D_3$ such that $N[D'_3] = V(n(G))$ and $deg(v_i) = 0$ for each $v_i \in D'_3$. Then the induced subgraph $\langle D'_3 \rangle$ forms an independent dominating vertices in n(G). Since $|D_3| \leq |S|$ and $D'_3 \subseteq D_3$, then $|D'_3| \leq |S|$ which gives $i_n(G) \leq \gamma_c(G)$.

Theorem 8: For any connected non trivial graph G, $i_n(G) \le p - \Delta(G)$.

Proof: From Theorem 7 and Theorem C the result follows .

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

<u>SSN: 2347-6532</u>

Theorem 9: For any tree T, in which every support vertex is adjacent to atleast one end edge, then $i_n(T) \leq \left[\frac{q-m}{2}\right] + 1$, where m is the number of end edges in T. Further equality holds for $K_{1,p-1}$.

Proof: Let $F_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be the set of all end edges in T such that $|F_1| = m$. Without loss of generality $V(n(T)) = E(T) \cup C_1(T)$ where $C_1(T) \in V(T)$ is the set of cutvertices T. Let $F_2 \subseteq E(T)$ be the non-end edges in T. Then clearly $F_2 = C_2$, where C_2 is the set of cutvertices in n(T). Consider $C'_1 \subseteq C_1(T)$ and $C'_2 \subseteq C_2(n(T))$. If every vertex $v_j \in V(n(T)) - (C'_1 \cup C'_2)$ are adjacent to atleast one vertex of $(C'_1 \cup C'_2)$ then $\langle C'_1 \cup C'_2 \rangle$ forms a dominating set of n(T). Further if every vertex in $\langle C'_1 \cup C'_2 \rangle$ are non-adjacent, then $\langle C'_1 \cup C'_2 \rangle$ is the independent dominating set of n(T). Hence $|C'_1 \cup C'_2| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q-m}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$, which gives $i_n(T) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q-m}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$.

For equality ,suppose $T \cong K_{1,p-1}$, then q = m. Hence $n(T) = K_p$ and $i_n(T) = 1$, which gives $i_n(T) = \left\lfloor \frac{q-m}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$.

Theorem 10: For any connected graph *G*, with $p \ge 2$ vertices $i_n(S(G)) \le p - 1$. **Proof**: Let *T* be a spanning tree of *G*. Clearly for any tree $\beta_1(S(T)) = p - 1$. Any set of (p - 1) independent edges of S(T) is an independent dominating set ofn(G). Hence $i_n(S(G)) \le p - 1$.

Theorem 11: For any connected (p,q) graph G, $i_n(G) \le q - \Delta'(G)$.

Proof: Let $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ and $E_1(G) \subseteq E(G)$ such that $\forall e_i \in \{E(G) - E_1(G)\}$ are adjacent to atleast one edge of $E_1(G)$ and $N(e_i) \cap N(e_j) = \emptyset, \forall e_i, e_j \in E_1(G)$. Hence $E_1(G)$ is a set of non-adjacent edges in G.Since $V(n(G)) = E(G) \cup C(G)$, $E_1(G) \subseteq V(n(G))$ and is an independent set of edges. Thus $|E_1(G)| = i_n(G)$ in n(G). Further let there exists a

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us



Volume 2, Issue 8

<u>ISSN: 2347-6532</u>

set $E_2 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, \dots, e_i\}$ with $|E_2| = \Delta'(G)$. Now $E_1(G) \subseteq E(G) - E_2$ and $|E_1(G)| \le |E(G) - E_2(G)|$ which gives $i_n(G) \le q - \Delta'(G)$.

The following Theorem gives Northus-Gaddum type of result.

Theorem 12: Let G be a graph such that both G and \overline{G} have no isolated edges, then,

$$i_n(G) + i_n(\bar{G}) \le 2\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$$

 $i_n(G) \cdot i_n(\overline{G}) \leq \left[\frac{p}{2}\right]^2$.

<u>References:</u>

[1] .S.Arumugam and S.Velammal .(1998) Edge domination in graphs.Taiwaness J. of Mathematics 2(2) (173-179).

[2] .F.Harary ,(1969) ,Graph Theory ,Adison Wesley , Reading Mass (61-62).

[3]. V.R.Kulli ,(2010),Theory Of Domination In Graphs. Vishwa International Publication,Gulbarga India.

[4] .S.Mitchell and S.T.Hedetniemi ,(1977),Edge Domination in Trees . In: Proc .8thS.E.Conf.OnCombinatorics,Graph Theory and Computing , utilitasMathematica ,Winnipeg, 19 (489-509) .

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.